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Abstract

The formation of the competencies necessary in the field of educational research implies both the acquisition of knowledge regarding this field and the specifics of the researches carried out here, as well as the capacities, the abilities to design different researches, on various topics, present and of interest, to carry them out, to monitor all the actions aimed at achieving the proposed finalities, validating hypotheses, finalizing the research undertaken, disseminating the results, capitalizing on them. To these, we add the development of an appropriate attitude, keeping to the principles of scientific ethics.

We consider the design phase to be particularly important for the success of it. The instrument that materializes the design activity of the research, the research project represents a unitary, coherent and logical structure of all the steps and actions that will be undertaken.

The present study aims to analyze the importance of this tool in the initial teacher training process, where training in the field of educational research has to occupy an important place. We used two research methods: the questionnaire survey and the analysis of the product activity. The sample of subjects was made up of 83 MA students from the Faculty of Letters, which also run in parallel with the Masters Program, the Psycho-pedagogical Training Program, level II and, within it, the Methodology of Educational Research discipline.

The results obtained from the investigation confirmed the value of the pedagogical research project in the formation of the researcher's competencies of the future teacher students.

1. Introduction

The initiation of research in the field of education is a challenge for any teacher, even for those with experience in the field, even more so in the case of the debutants or the ones who are during their initial professionalisation period. The importance of this segment of a teacher’s training, despite a certain degree of difficulty, makes it necessary to pay increased attention even from the beginning stage of the initial teacher education. The carrying out of some pedagogical research requires first of all a good knowledge of the specificity of the scientific research, a very close connection with the educational practice, in order to be able to then design and carry out such steps that have the purpose of improving the didactic...
activity, the development of the field from a theoretical and a praxeological perspective.

Each stage of research is important and must be approached with maximum rigor and integrated in the general context of the whole process. Due to the complexity of the research, the multitude of variables involved, the need to manage them properly and minimize the possibility of random occurrence, a proper and appropriate design is required.

The activity of designing some research which has itself a high degree of complexity, involves a joint, a harmonious and logical combination of all stages and components, so as to provide the premises for a successful pursuit.

A well-made research project does not implicitly guarantee the success of the research in question, but it is a condition for it.

The training of the designing skills of pedagogical research can be achieved even from the initial stage of the teachers’ training. The first level of psycho-pedagogical training offers the possibility of initiating students in the field of research in disciplines such as Educational Psychology or Pedagogy. The second level, being one of thoroughness, assures the development of the students’ research competencies, especially in the Methodology of Educational Research discipline.

In the last mentioned discipline, first of all, the competency to design pedagogical research, through elaborating research projects, is practiced.

### 2. The specifics of the designing activity of research in the field of education

Any pedagogical research involves a series of stages, each of them summing up specific actions (Walliman, 2011; Seltman, 2012; Toshkov, 2018). Plomp (2013, p. 13), inventories several functions (roles) of the design of research: to describe; to compare; to assess; to explain or anticipate; to develop.

After choosing and formulating the theme, the elaboration of the research design is probably one of the most important decisions of the researcher (Abutabenjeh & Jaradat, 2018).

F.R. Mogonea (2010, p. 40) and F. Mogonea (2013, pp. 79-80) present a possible structure of educational research (see table no. 1).

| Table no. 1. The enterprise of (ameliorative) pedagogical research (Mogonea, 2010, p. 40, Mogonea, 2013, pp. 79-80) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Stages**     | **Substages**   | **Conditions**  |
| A. Preparing the research | Choosing the research problem | It must be current, original, scientifically meaningful, well motivated, accurately formulated; it must identify solutions for improvement; it must be of general interest (and not of a personal, individual one); it must know applicability, etc. |
|                 | Documentation   | The study of the thematic bibliography, by source categories; the foreign bibliographic resources, actual in the problem; the critical presentation of the bibliographic aspects |
|                 | Establishing the working hypothesis, the purpose and the objectives | Establishing the working hypothesis ("if ... then ..."); "the more ...the better...", "it is possible to ... if", "what would happen if ... "; the derivation of the particular hypotheses from the specific hypothesis; the clear determination of the purpose and objectives of the research, by work stages, etc. |
|                 | Establishing the methodology | Identifying the main methods to be used in the research, on stages of work, establishing the place, the time, the samples (experimental and control), setting the steps of the research, etc. |
|                 | Making the research plan | Making the research project that will prepare the stages, the steps to follow. |
| B. The implementation of the research | Applying the expected interventions | It assumes the implementation, the application of what was proposed (independent variables), the pursuit of the purpose, the objectives, the reformulation of the hypotheses, the tracking of the differences between the samples, etc. |
| C. Completing the research, capitalizing on results | The quantitative and qualitative data processing and interpretation | It is done in the posttest and retest when data between samples are compared quantitatively (statistically) and qualitatively (psycho-pedagogically) and the conclusions are drawn. |
|                 | Capitalizing on research and results | Dissemination, publication, generalization, enlargement |
The research preparation phase ends with the development of the research project. We are going to present in what follows a possible structure of it (Bocoș, 2003, pp. 35-36):

- **presenting the researched problem**: definition; terminological delimitations, the clarification of the basic concepts, importance/educational relevance; actuality; the motivation of choosing the theme;

- **synthesizing the state of problem research**, the solved issues and those that have not been satisfactorily solved, making critical analyzes by the researcher, anticipating its original contributions;

- **presenting the basic, fundamental hypothesis and the secondary/auxiliary assumptions**;

- **presenting the purpose and objectives of the research**

- **describing the research methodology** and *concrete strategy used* in the course of the actual research, which includes the following elements:
  - the place of research;
  - the period of research;
  - the stages and the substages;
  - the research strategy;
  - the study subjects involved;
  - the content sample (with arguments and explanations);
  - the subjects/pupils and their characterization: age, sex, general level of training, level of training in the discipline of interest, level of intellectual development, level of physical development, social descent, professional status and others;
  - independent variables/variables dependent variables/variables and so on.
    - the research methodology;
    - the material resources used and the costs involved in the operational data collection tools,
    - the strategy for verifying and evaluating the results obtained by the subjects;
    - the methodology for the processing of research data, including electronic work tools;

- **establishing the ways to capitalize on research**.

Although algorithmic, the previously presented structure needs to be personalized according to the conditions under which it is exploited, the type of research, the pursued objectives, the sample of subjects, and the researcher's experience.

Depending on the type of research, of intentionality, both quantitative and qualitative methods can be used (Astalin, 2013; White & Sabarwal, 2014; McKim, 2017; Mohajan, 2018; Janssens, Bos, Rosmalen, Wichers & Riese, 2018). The two paradigms, that of research based on quantitative methods and the other on qualitative methods, must not necessarily be seen in opposition but in complementarity. The first, the quantitative one, is known as the paradigm of scientific research, the other as the paradigm of socio-anthropological research (Atieno, 2009, p. 13). The selection of research methods and the development of the tools associated to them is an essential step in the unfolding of the research, which highlights one of the core competencies of a researcher, a competency that can be formed through initial professionalisation.

3. The research methodology

The **aim** of our research was to understand the role and importance of the pedagogical research project in the development of the future teacher students competencies in designing certain pedagogical research.

Derived from this goal, the **objectives** aimed at:

- knowing the students' opinion regarding the role of the discipline *The methodology of the educational research* in the formation of the competency to design some educational research;

- the self-evaluation of the research project, by reference to different stages or dimensions of the project;

- identifying the advantages and disadvantages of the pedagogical research project;

- the correlation of students’ self-evaluation with the project evaluation, based on the evaluation scale.

The **actions undertaken** aimed to validate two hypotheses:

1. **The pedagogical research project is a useful tool in forming the competency to design some educational research.**
2. The students can make an objective self-evaluation on the successful and unsuccessful aspects of the pedagogical research project.

The research methodology

In order to validate the previously mentioned hypotheses and to achieve the research objectives, we capitalized on two research methods, accompanied by appropriate tools: the questionnaire survey and the analysis of the activity products (of the research projects developed by the students).

The questionnaire applied to the MA students consisted of 14 items with closed, semi-closed answers and also a few open-ended items.

The items of the questionnaire aimed to investigate the opinion of the subjects regarding:

- the importance of the competency meant to design pedagogical research for a teacher;
- the role of the Methodology of educational research and of the research project in the formation;
- development of the competency of designing certain research in the field of education;
- the most successful and the least successful part of the research project;
- the difficulties encountered in: reasoning behind the choice of the theme; making the documentation; formulating the purpose and the objectives of the research; making the assumptions and variables; presenting the research methodology;
- The advantages and disadvantages of the pedagogical research project in the training of a future teacher's research competencies.

For the analysis of the research projects developed by the students, we used an evaluation grid whose indicators were grouped into four categories: on the choice and formulation of the theme and the motivation of choosing it; on the theoretical foundation of the theme; on the specification of the hypotheses and the objectives of the research; on the choice of the research methodology.

The sample of subjects was made up of 83 MA students from the Faculty of Letters, University of Craiova, in their first year. 18 students (representing 21.69%) of the sample included in the investigation are, at the same time, teachers.

4. Results

The results obtained after the application of the two research methods allowed the verification of the truth value of the two hypotheses mentioned above.

We are presenting, for the beginning, the results that allowed the validation of the 1st hypothesis.

Thus, most of the students chose the first of the variants offered (to a large extent) when they answered the question about the importance of the competency necessary to design some pedagogical research, for a future teacher (see figure no. 1).

![Figure no. 1. The students' opinion on the importance of the competency necessary to design pedagogical research, for a teacher](image1)

The results recorded in this item are similar to those in the item on the importance of the Methodology of Educational Research for the development of the design competency of research in the field of education.

![Figure no. 2. The students’ opinion on the importance of the Methodology of research subject in the development of the competency necessary to design pedagogical research, for a teacher](image2)
The students consider the research project to be useful in forming the competency to design pedagogical research, as the results presented in figure no. 3 show.

![Figure no. 3. The students’ opinion on the usefulness of the pedagogical research project in the formation of the research design competency](image)

The following items of the questionnaire provided information able to ensure the validation of the second hypothesis of the research.

Regarding the complexity of the research project, the students appreciated that the documentation was the most complex part, as there can be seen in figure no. 4.

![Figure no. 4. The students’ assessments on the most complex part of the research project](image)

Two of the questionnaire items, with open answers, asked for the students' opinion on the part of the research project they think they managed very well or not so well.

After centralizing the answers, we obtained the following hierarchy:

The best done part of the project:

![Figure no. 5. The students’ opinion on the part of the research project they consider they did best](image)

The least developed part of the project:

![Figure no. 6. The students’ opinion on the part of the research project they consider they did not do well](image)

The following items of the questionnaire investigated the MA students’ opinion on the difficulties they encountered during the different stages and sub-stages of the research project.

Thus, in motivating the choice of the theme, the students appreciated that they did not encounter difficulties in stating certain objective, scientific reasons (see figure no.7).
Regarding the documentation, most of the students appreciated that they had difficulties in identifying some representative and current sources (figure no. 8).

![Image](image8.png)

**Figure no. 8.** The students’ opinion on the difficulties encountered in the documentation stage

The formulation of the purpose and objectives of the research has also been an important stage of the research. Figure no. 9 shows a situation of the difficulties they encountered.

![Image](image9.png)

**Figure no. 9.** The students’ evaluation of the difficulties encountered in formulating the purpose and objectives of the research

We are going to present in what follows the students’ answers to the item that asked for their opinion on the difficulties encountered in formulating the research hypotheses (figure no. 10).

![Image](image10.png)

**Figure no. 10.** The difficulties encountered by the students in formulating the research hypotheses

The selection of the research methods and the indication of how to use them were the main obstacles students have encountered in choosing the research methodology, as can be observed in figure no. 11.

![Image](image11.png)

**Figure no. 11.** The students’ evaluation of the obstacles encountered in choosing the research methodology

Figure no. 12 presents a hierarchy of the frequency with which the research methods were used. As you can see, the students mostly used the questionnaire and the interview, but also the test and the case study. In other methods, two students mentioned the sociometric test, and three respondents specified the observation.

We are stating that in this item the students had the possibility to choose more than one answers.

![Image](image12.png)

**Figure no. 12.** The methods of research exploited by the students in the elaborated research projects

The last two items have open answers and asked for the views of the subjects on the advantages and disadvantages of the pedagogical research project in the development of a future teacher’s research skills.

Thus, among the advantages we mention:

- Acquiring new knowledge;
- The possibility to identify the current problems of education, as well as solutions;
• Forming skills of synthesis, of formulating personal opinions, argumentation;
• Developing the competency of self-knowledge, of managing problem-situations, the metacognitive competency;
• Knowing new research methods;
• Performing activities with an applicative character;
• Developing professional competencies;
• The possibility of developing research tools;
• Exercising the ability to interpret the results of research, to disseminate them;
• Familiarizing with curriculum documents;
• Correlating all sources for carrying out research project in your own way.

As disadvantages or risks, the students mentioned:
• The duration of the research project;
• The need for extensive documentation;
• The choice of inappropriate themes;
• The difficulty to find sources for the chosen theme;
• The particular nature of the obtained results.

The opinions of the students were then confronted with the results of the evaluation of their portfolios. For the evaluation there was used a grid containing 22 indicators, grouped in four categories: on the choice of the theme, its formulation and the motivation of the choice, on the theoretical foundation, on stating the hypothesis and the objectives, on the choice of methodology. According to the recorded scores, difficulties were recorded:
- for the first category of indicators, the one regarding the applicative character and the possibility;
- for the topic to find ameliorative solutions;
- for the second category of indicators, in the indicators on the critical analysis of the sources, their;
- correct quote, a balance sheet of the more or less successful aspects from quoted sources, regarding the marking of their own contribution;
- for the third category, in the indicators on formulating the hypothesis as probabilistic statements;
- and in the requirement that the assumptions should address the real problems and find better solutions;
- for the last category - in the indicators describing the way in which the research methods are used.

Table no. 2. The evaluation grid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crt. no.</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Score (1-10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>Concerning the choice and formulation of the theme and the motivation of its choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.1.</td>
<td>The theme is current and of general interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.2.</td>
<td>It has an applicative character, it offers ameliorative possibilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.3.</td>
<td>It benefits from sufficient theoretical support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.4.</td>
<td>The title is sufficiently limited in relation to the complexity of the theme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>Concerning the theoretical foundation of the theme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.1.</td>
<td>The studied papers are considered reference points and they are current</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2.</td>
<td>Relevant webographies sources carefully selected are also valorized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.3.</td>
<td>The sources are critically analyzed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.4.</td>
<td>They are correctly recorded, both in the text and in the final bibliography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.5.</td>
<td>A balance sheet of the achieved and less achieved aspects is made</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.6.</td>
<td>One’s own contribution is marked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Specifying the hypotheses and research objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III.1. The hypotheses are formulated as statements of probable value

III.2. The hypotheses address real problems and outlook ameliorative solutions

III.3. There is a real concordance between the hypotheses and the theme

III.4. The formulation of the hypothesis is correct, concise, logical

III.5. The objectives are consistent with the assumptions

III.6. The formulation of the objectives is correct, concise, logical

IV. Choosing the research methodology

IV.1. The methodology is consistent with the theme, the assumptions made and the objectives set

IV.2. A description of the methods is made

IV.3. It is indicated how the methods are used in the research

IV.4. The research tools are described

IV.5. The research tools are attached

IV.6. It is indicated how to capitalize on the research results

5. Discussions

The results obtained after the application of the two research methods confirm, in part and in correlation, the importance of the designing of the pedagogical research in general, as well as the pedagogical research project, in particular.

Students were given the opportunity, during a semester, to practice their competence in designing pedagogical research, following all the stages and actions specific to such an approach.

Each stage of this algorithm has been exemplified and developed, depending on the type of each research. The pedagogical research project, developed by the students during the semester, was part of their final, summative assessment at the end of the semester.

The students conducted a detailed self-analysis of the project, objectively capturing the successful and less successful aspects of the papers. The complexity of such an instrument requires not only a serious theoretical foundation, but also a good knowledge of the educational reality and the difficulties it faces. As a result, a first challenge for the students was precisely the choice and formulation of the research theme.

The documentation stage, one of the important stages of the project design, was demanding for the students as they had to meet the criteria and requirements of a scientific documentation, to identify the relevant and up-to-date sources, combining the possibilities offered by the printed texts with those offered by the Internet, and also use them correctly.

The greatest difficulties were encountered in the formulation of hypotheses and research variables. The difficulty comes first of all from the need to formulate hypothetical reasoning of some probabilistic statements, the truth value of which must be proved, demonstrated.

The students made a pertinent analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the research project, mentioning, in particular, what was important to them, the practical utility of this instrument, its formative valences, especially from the perspective of a future teaching career.

The evaluation of the research projects, based on the presented grid, correlated with the students’ opinion, expressed through the questionnaire confirmed the ideas already mentioned by the students. Following the application of the evaluation grid, we were able to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the research projects, we
learned about the successful aspects but also the typical mistakes, all of which were reported to the students after the evaluation of the works.

We can argue that in this case there is an agreement between the students’ self-evaluation and the teacher’s evaluation.

6. Conclusions

Designing an activity, regardless of its specificity, is a necessary action to ensure the success of that activity, especially if we are dealing with a complex situation which involves a multitude of aspects, elements, variables. The design action is embodied in a tool that, beyond the relatively algorithmic structure, must have sufficient flexibility that does not limit the possibilities for action, thereby affecting the possibility of achieving the proposed finality.

The pedagogical research project is such an instrument that enables those who develop it to anticipate the research, as responses to the following key questions: What are they aiming at? How can I do it? What can I do it with? How do I capitalize on what I have achieved?

Although creativity is needed to develop the project, as mentioned before, we believe that in order to reach this level, it is necessary to have a previous, constant and sustained exercise that allows the formation of automatisms, the ability to design research, perfectly articulated, logical and unitary.

For prospective teachers, achieving this goal is possible by repeatedly being in the situation to elaborate some or all of the research, capitalizing on various themes in the educational field.

The present study offered the opportunity to appreciate the usefulness of the pedagogical research project in forming the research competencies of prospective teachers.
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